

In our Workshop, concerning the Procurement Performance Model, we presented two case studies:

- One about the acquisition of consulting services by Central Administration bodies.
- The other about an amendment to a concession contract for the operation of a container terminal.

Two sub-groups were attached to evaluate each case study by using the Procurement Performance Model.

The sub-group who analysed the first case study considered that most of the questions and sub-questions of the macro level could be used as topics for the auditor. However, the list of questions is not complete, because it lacks the impact analysis of the contracts awarded.

The sub-group who analysed the second case study has concluded that most of the main questions could be applied, despite the nature of PPP contracts. The sub-questions wouldn't apply to this case since a compliance approach should also be considered. One of the participants in the sub-group highlighted that the questions in the Model were of a very high level and therefore the auditor should draw more specific and deeper questions for each of the audits he will perform.

Both sub-groups agree that the document is useful for auditors and that they will use it in future audits. They also agree that the document should be updated when necessary, namely if and when Directives are altered, which will probably occur in the next three or four years.

These are the main conclusions of our workshop. Thank you for your attention.